	Case 1:23-cv-01313-KES-SKO Docume	ent 9 Filed 04/03/24 Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		ES DISTRICT COURT
10	TOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUNIOR A. MORAN,  Petitioner,  v.  OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  Respondent.	No. 1:23-cv-01313-KES-SKO (HC)  ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 6)  ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT AND CLOSE CASE  ORDER DECLINING TO ISSUE CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Petitioner Junior A. Moran is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.  On October 16, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations to dismiss the petition. Doc. 6. Those findings and recommendations were served upon all parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within ten (10) days after service. <i>Id.</i> at 3. No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.  In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of	
	1	

## Case 1:23-cv-01313-KES-SKO Document 9 Filed 04/03/24 Page 2 of 3

the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

In addition, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. A state prisoner seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a district court's denial of his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537

U.S. 322, 335-336 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue a certificate of

appealability is 28 U.S.C. § 2253, which provides as follows:

- (a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the circuit in which the proceeding is held.
- (b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the validity of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial a person charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the validity of such person's detention pending removal proceedings.
- (c) (1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—
  - (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or
  - (B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.
  - (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
  - (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may issue a certificate of appealability only when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To make a substantial showing, the petitioner must establish that "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (quoting *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).

In the present case, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made the required substantial

## showing of the denial of a constitutional right to justify the issuance of a certificate of appealability. Reasonable jurists would not find the Court's determination that Petitioner is not entitled to federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or deserving of encouragement to proceed further. Thus, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. Accordingly, 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on October 16, 2023, (Doc. 6), are adopted in full; 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed; 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment and close the case; and 4. The Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. This order terminates the action in its entirety. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 2, 2024 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 1:23-cv-01313-KES-SKO Document 9 Filed 04/03/24 Page 3 of 3